

**Priority factor: Community conditions**  
**Small group discussion**  
**Round 2**

**Review the prioritization criteria below and:**

- Summary Assessment of Older Ohioans: pages 15-17

**Priority outcome prioritization criteria**

- **Ability to track progress:** Measurable indicators are available to assess and report progress in a meaningful way on an annual basis at the state level, with consideration for the ability to track progress at the local level.
- **Nature of the problem:** Magnitude, severity, disparities/inequities, U.S. comparison, trends [Data and information from Summary Assessment results]
- **Alignment:** With State Plan on Aging, 2020-2022 State Health Improvement Plan, local priorities, state agency plans and other initiatives
- **Potential for impact:** Availability of evidence-informed strategies, co-benefits, feasibility to address at state and/or local level
- **Connection to SAPA outcome priorities:** Extent to which the factor contributes to civil and social engagement, population health or functional independence outcomes

**Discussion questions:**

1. Considering the prioritization criteria above, particularly **ability to track progress** and **potential for impact**, are there other sub-topics that are not listed that we should consider adding? Are there other potential outcomes that are not listed under a sub-topic that we should consider adding?
2. Given the prioritization criteria above, which of the following sub-topics should be prioritized to include in the SAPA?

**Note:** *There will be no more than 1-3 measurable outcomes total for community conditions.*

<b>Priority factor: Community conditions Sub-topics listed below</b>	<b>Potential outcome areas to address within the sub-topic</b> <b>Bold:</b> Measurable outcome included in the <i>Summary Assessment</i> <b>Non-bold:</b> Additional outcome area examples
<b>Economic stability</b>	Such as <b>poverty, unemployment, net worth</b> , financial planning
<b>Elder abuse, neglect and exploitation</b>	Such as <b>reports of abuse, neglect and exploitation</b> , partner violence
<b>Food insecurity</b>	Such as <b>food security, nutrition programs, SNAP participation</b>
<b>Housing affordability, accessibility and quality</b>	Such as <b>housing cost burden</b> , access to accessible housing, housing quality problems

<b>Kinship care</b>	Such as <b>approved kinship homes, grandparents caring for grandchildren</b>
<b>Neighborhood conditions</b>	Such as violent crime, access to healthy food, access to community resources
<b>Transportation</b>	Such as <b>transportation to healthcare</b> , vehicle ownership, transit access

**Priority factor: Health behaviors**  
**Small group discussion**  
**Round 2**

**Review the prioritization criteria below and:**

- Summary Assessment of Older Ohioans: pages 17-19

**Priority outcome prioritization criteria**

- **Ability to track progress:** Measurable indicators are available to assess and report progress in a meaningful way on an annual basis at the state level, with consideration for the ability to track progress at the local level.
- **Nature of the problem:** Magnitude, severity, disparities/inequities, U.S. comparison, trends [*Data and information from Summary Assessment results*]
- **Alignment:** With State Plan on Aging, 2020-2022 State Health Improvement Plan, local priorities, state agency plans and other initiatives
- **Potential for impact:** Availability of evidence-informed strategies, co-benefits, feasibility to address at state and/or local level
- **Connection to SAPA outcome priorities:** Extent to which the factor contributes to civil and social engagement, population health or functional independence outcomes

**Discussion question:**

1. Considering the prioritization criteria above, particularly **ability to track progress** and **potential for impact**, are there other sub-topics that are not listed that we should consider adding? Are there other potential outcomes that are not listed under a sub-topic that we should consider adding?
2. Given the prioritization criteria above, which of the following sub-topics should be prioritized to include in the SAPA?

**Note:** *There will be no more than 1-3 measurable outcomes total for health behaviors.*

<b>Priority factor: Health behaviors</b> <b>Sub-topics listed below</b>	<b>Potential outcome areas to address within the sub-topic</b> <b>Bold:</b> Measurable outcome included in the <i>Summary Assessment</i> <b>Non-bold:</b> Additional outcome area examples
<b>Nutrition</b>	Such as <b>fruit consumption, vegetable consumption</b>
<b>Physical activity</b>	Such as <b>physical activity</b> , aerobic exercise, walking
<b>Tobacco use</b>	Such as <b>smoking</b> , secondhand smoke exposure

**Priority factor: Access to care**  
**Small group discussion**  
**Round 2**

**Review the prioritization criteria below and:**

- Summary Assessment of Older Ohioans: pages 19 - 21

**Priority outcome prioritization criteria**

- **Ability to track progress:** Measurable indicators are available to assess and report progress in a meaningful way on an annual basis at the state level, with consideration for the ability to track progress at the local level.
- **Nature of the problem:** Magnitude, severity, disparities/inequities, U.S. comparison, trends [Data and information from Summary Assessment results]
- **Alignment:** With State Plan on Aging, 2020-2022 State Health Improvement Plan, local priorities, state agency plans and other initiatives
- **Potential for impact:** Availability of evidence-informed strategies, co-benefits, feasibility to address at state and/or local level
- **Connection to SAPA outcome priorities:** Extent to which the factor contributes to civil and social engagement, population health or functional independence outcomes

**Discussion question:**

1. Considering the prioritization criteria above, particularly **ability to track progress** and **potential for impact**, are there other sub-topics that are not listed that we should consider adding? Are there other potential outcomes that are not listed under a sub-topic that we should consider adding?
2. Given the prioritization criteria above, which of the following sub-topics should be prioritized to include in the SAPA?

**Note:** *There will be no more than 1-3 measurable outcomes total for access to care.*

<b>Priority factor: Access to care</b> <b>Sub-topics listed below</b>	<b>Potential outcome areas to address within the sub-topic</b> <b>Bold:</b> Measurable outcome included in the <i>Summary Assessment</i> <b>Non-bold:</b> Additional outcome area examples
<b>Affordability of long-term, home- and community-based supports</b>	Such as <b>nursing home affordability, home care affordability, long-term care insurance</b>
<b>Availability of long-term, home- and community-based supports</b>	Such as <b>assisted living supply, end-of life hospice care, transitions back to community, provider access</b>
<b>Caregiver supports</b>	Such as <b>policies that support caregivers, caregiver protections</b>
<b>Health care coverage</b>	Such as <b>health insurance coverage, prescription drug coverage</b>

<b>Preventative health care</b>	Such as <b>cardiovascular screening, depression screening, dental visits</b> , mammography, PSA test
<b>Quality of care</b>	Such as <b>emergency department visits</b> , hospital admissions/readmissions, patient satisfaction, nursing home quality, person- and family- centered care, cultural and linguistic competency
<b>Workforce capacity</b>	Such as <b>home care workers, geriatricians</b> , primary care providers, specialty care providers, healthcare professional shortage areas